

LETITIA JAMES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

EXECUTIVE DIVISION
OFFICE OF FEDERAL INITIATIVES

June 2, 2025

BY ECF

The Honorable John P. Cronan United States District Judge Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

RE: New York v. National Science Foundation, SDNY No. 25 Civ. 4452 (JPC)

This Office represents the State of New York in the above-captioned matter. We write on behalf of all plaintiffs pursuant to the Court's Order dated May 29, 2025, directing the parties to inform the Court by today as to a briefing schedule for Plaintiff States' motion seeking a preliminary injunction. *See* ECF No. 15. The parties have conferred, but without agreement as to a schedule, and thus respectfully submit for the Court's consideration the parties' competing proposals:

Plaintiffs' proposal, consistent with Local Civil Rule 6.1, is:

- Defendants shall file their opposition by June 11, 2025; and
- Plaintiffs shall file their reply by June 18, 2025.

Defendants' proposal is:

- Defendants shall file their opposition by June 27, 2025; and
- Plaintiffs shall file their reply by July 11, 2025.

Plaintiffs have strong concerns for the institutions of higher education who are experiencing harms from the challenged conduct. Accordingly, they have a strong interest in proceeding swiftly on the preliminary injunction motion and in preventing further injury (including termination of breakthrough research, loss of staff, and loss of data integrity) resulting from defendants' conduct. Plaintiffs would be amenable to defendants' proposed schedule upon an agreement to further extend the government's voluntary stay of the Indirect Cost Directive through that schedule and a hearing on Plaintiffs' motion. See Ass'n of Am. Universities v. Nat'l Sci. Found., No. 25-cv-11231 (D. Mass.), ECF No. 50 (temporary pause on Indirect Cost Directive).

The Government requests additional time to file its opposition to the plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction in order to confer with attorneys for the Department of Justice who are litigating overlapping issues in a related case filed in the District of Massachusetts, Association of American Universities et al. v. National Science Foundation et al., 25 Civ. 11231 (D. Mass.) ("AAU"). More specifically, the plaintiffs in AAU are challenging one of the same National Science Foundation ("NSF") policies that is at issue in the instant lawsuit: NSF's recent policy providing that NSF would reduce its reimbursement of indirect research costs to 15%. See id., ECF No. 1-3, at 2. The parties in AAU have already briefed many of the same issues, and a hearing on the plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction in AAU is scheduled for June 13, 2025. In light of the overlapping issues between this case and AAU, the Government requests two additional weeks to coordinate its response to the instant motion for a preliminary injunction. More generally, it would be beneficial for the parties to complete their briefing following the June 13 hearing on the AAU plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction, because the result of such hearing could impact the parties' arguments, and the scope of relief sought by the plaintiffs, in this matter. Separately, the Government requests additional time because the Assistant United States Attorneys ("AUSAs") who are assigned to this case have personal and professional conflicts with the schedule proposed by the plaintiffs. Specifically, one AUSA will be traveling out of the country for the entirety of next week (i.e., when the Government's opposition brief would be due under the plaintiffs' proposed briefing schedule), and the other AUSA has an upcoming deadline to file post-trial briefing in connection with a recent jury trial that took place in the Southern District of New York.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this submission.

Respectfully,

/s/ Colleen K. Faherty Colleen K. Faherty Special Trial Counsel (212) 416-6046